

From the AoIR Ethics Working Group Bibliography:

Edited collections and books covering a wide range of issues:

- Buchanan, E. (Ed.) (2003). *Readings in Virtual Research Ethics: Issues and Controversies*. Hershey: Idea Group.
- Ess, C. (2009). *Digital Media Ethics*. Cambridge: Polity.
- Hine, C. (2005). (Ed.). *Virtual Methods: Issues in Social Research on the Internet*. Oxford: Berg Publishers.
- Johns, M., Chen, S. L., & Hall, J. (Eds.) (2003). *Online Social Research: Methods, issues, and Ethics*. New York: Peter Lang.
- Jones, S.(Ed.). (1999). *Doing Internet research: Critical Issues and Methods for Examining the Net*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Markham, A.N., & Baym, N. K. (Eds.). (2009). *Internet inquiry: Conversations about method*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- McKee, H. A. and Porter, J. E. (2009). *The Ethics of Internet Research: A Rhetorical, Case-based Process*. New York: Peter Lang Publishing.
- Thorseth, M. (2003). *Applied Ethics in Internet Research*. Trondheim, Norway: Programme for Applied Ethics, Norwegian University of Science and Technology: Trondheim.

Resources on general discussion of Internet research ethics:

- Buchanan, E. (2010). Internet Research Ethics: Past, Present, Future. In *The Handbook of Internet Studies* (pp. 82-108). Editors: Ess, C. and Consalvo, M. Oxford: Blackwell.
- Elgesem, D. (2002). What is Special about the Ethical Issues in Online Research? *Ethics and Information Technology*, 4 (3), 195-203. Available: online: http://www.nyu.edu/projects/nissenbaum/ethics_elgesem.html
- Frankel, M. S. & Siang, S.. (1999). Ethical and legal aspects of human subjects research in cyberspace: A report of a workshop. American Association for the Advancement of Science. Available: <http://www.aaas.org/spp/sfrl/projects/intres/report.pdf>
- Kraut, R., Olson, J., Banaji, M., Bruckman, A., Cohen, J., & Cooper, M. (2004). Psychological research online: Report of board of scientific affairs' advisory group on the conduct of research on the internet. *American Psychologist*, 59(4), 1-13.
- Mann, C. (2003). Generating Data Online: Ethical Concerns and Challenges for the C21 researcher. In Thorseth, M. (Ed.) *Applied Ethics in Internet Research* (pp. 31-49). Trondheim, Norway: NTNU University Press.

Resources on Internet research ethics and research review boards:

- Banks, W. and Eble, M. (2007). Digital spaces, online environments, and human participant research: Interfacing with institutional review boards. In Heidi A. McKee & Dànienne Nicole DeVoss (Eds.), *Digital Writing Research*:

- Technologies, Methodologies, and Ethical Issues* (pp. 27–47). Cresskill, NJ: Hampton Press.
- Buchanan, E. (2002). Internet Research Ethics and Institutional Review Board Policy: New Challenges, New Opportunities. *Advances in Library Organization and Management*, 19, 85-100.
 - Buchanan, E. and Ess, C. (2009). Internet Research Ethics and the Institutional Review Board: Current Practices and Issues. *ACM SIGCAS Computers and Society*, 39 (3).
 - Thomas, J. (2004). Reexamining the ethics of Internet research: Facing the challenge of overzealous oversight. In In Mark D. Johns, Shing-Ling Sarina Chen, & G. Jon Hall (Eds.), *Online Social Research: Methods, Issues, and Ethics* (pp. 187–201). New York: Peter Lang.

Resources on how technological contexts complicate issues of privacy:

- Barry, D. (2004). Internet research: privacy, ethics and alienation: an open source approach. *Internet Research* 14(4) 323-332.
- boyd, d. and Marwick, A. (2011). How Teens Understand Privacy. Unpublished Manuscript. Available: <http://www.danah.org/papers/2011/SocialPrivacyPLSC-Draft.pdf>
- Bromseth, J. C. H. (2002). Public places – public activities? Methodological approaches and ethical dilemmas in research on computer-mediated communication contexts. In A. Morrison (Ed.), *Researching ICTs in Context*, 33-61. Inter/Media Report 3/2002. Oslo: University of Oslo. Available: <http://www.intermedia.uio.no/konferanser/skift-02/docs/Researching%20ICTs%20in%20context-Ch3-Bromseth.pdf>
- James, N, & Busher, H. (2007). Ethical issues in online educational research: Protecting privacy, establishing authenticity in email interviewing. *International Journal of Research & Method in Education*, 30(1), 101-113.
- Nakada, M. & Tamura, T. (2005). Japanese conceptions of privacy: An intercultural perspective. *Ethics and Information Technology* 7 (1), 27-36.
- Nippert-Eng, C. (2010). *Islands of Privacy*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Nissenbaum, H. (2009). *Privacy in Context: Technology, Policy, and the Integration of Social Life*. Stanford: Stanford University Press.
- Sveningsson-Elm, M. (2009). How do various notions of privacy influence decision making in qualitative internet research. In Markham, A. & Baym, N. (Eds.). *Internet Inquiry: Conversation about method* (pp. 69-87). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Sweeney, L. (2003). Navigating computer science research through waves of privacy concerns: Discussions among computer scientists at Carnegie Mellon University. In *Tech Report, CMU CS 03-165, CMU-ISRI-03-102*. Pittsburgh.
- Zimmer, M. (2010). “But the data is already public”: on the ethics of research in Facebook, *Ethics & Information Technology*, 12(4), 313-325.

Resources on issues surrounding consent principles, practices, or procedures:

- Hudson, J. and Bruckman, A. (2005). Using Empirical Data to Reason about Internet Research Ethics. Proceedings of the European Conference on Computer-Supported Cooperative Work (ECSCW), 287-306.
- Grimes, S. (2008). Researching the Researchers: Market Researchers, Child Subjects and the Problem of "Informed" Consent. *International Journal of Internet Research Ethics* 1(1), 66-91.
- Reid, E. (1996). Informed consent in the study of on-line communities: A reflection on the effects of computer-mediated social research. *The Information Society*, 12 (2), 169-174.
- Williams, J. & Barchard, K. (2008). Practical advice for conducting ethical online experiments and questionnaires for United States psychologists. *Behavior Research Methods*, 40, 1111-1128.

Research addressing methods and ethics:

- Bakardjieva, M. and Feenberg, A. (2001). Involving the virtual subject: conceptual, methodological and ethical dimensions. *Ethics and Information Technology*, 2(4), 233-40.
- Bober, M. (2004). Virtual Youth Research: An Exploration of Methodologies and Ethical Dilemmas from a British Perspective (pp.288-316). In Buchanan, E. (Ed.). *Readings in Virtual Research Ethics: Issues and Controversies*. Hershey: Idea Group.
- Clegg Smith, K. M. (2004). "Electronic Eavesdropping": The ethical issues involved in conducting a virtual ethnography. In Johns, M., Chen, S. and Hall, G. (Eds.). *Online Social Research: Methods, Issues, Ethics* (223-238)). New York: Peter Lang.
- Gajjala, R. (2004). *Cyber Selves: Feminist Ethnographies of South Asian Women*. Walnut Creek: Altamira Press.
- Hall, G. J, Frederick, D., & Johns, M.D. (2004). "NEED HELP ASAP!!!": A Feminist Communitarian Approach to Online Research Ethics. In Johns, M., Chen, S. and Hall, G. (Eds.). *Online Social Research: Methods, Issues, Ethics* (pp. 239-252). New York: Peter Lang.
- Hudson, J. M. and Bruckman, A. (2004). Go away: Participant objections to being studied and the ethics of chatroom research. *Information Society*, 20(2), 127-139.
- Markham, A. (2006). Method as ethic, ethic as method. *Journal of Information Ethics*, 15(2), 37-55.
- Markham , A. (2005). The politics, ethics, and methods of representation in online ethnography. In Denzin, N. & Lincoln, Y. (Eds.). *Handbook of Qualitative Research*, 3rd Edition (pp. 793-820). Thousand Oaks CA: Sage.
- Markham, A. (2003). Critical junctures and ethical choices in Internet ethnography. In Thorseth, M. (Ed.) *Applied Ethics in Internet Research* (pp. 51-63). Trondheim, Norway: NTNU University Press.

- McCleary, R. (2007). Ethical issues in online social work research. *Journal of Social Work Values & Ethics*, 4(1). Available: <http://www.socialworker.com/jswve/content/view/46/50/>
- Meho, L.I. (2006). E-Mail interviewing in qualitative research: A methodological discussion. *Journal of the American Society for Information Science and Technology*, 57 (10), 1284-1295.
- Sveningsson-Elm, M. (2009). How do various notions of privacy influence decision making in qualitative internet research. In Markham, A. & Baym, N. (Eds.). *Internet Inquiry: Conversation about Method* (pp. 69-87). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Sveningsson, M. (2003). Ethics in Internet Ethnography. In Buchanan, E. (Ed.). *Readings in Virtual Research Ethics: Issues and Controversies* (pp. 45-61). Hershey: Idea Group.
- Walther, J. (2002). Research Ethics in Internet-enabled Research: Human Subjects Issues and Methodological Myopia. *Ethics and Information Technology*, 4 (3). Available: http://www.nyu.edu/projects/nissenbaum/ethics_walther.html
- Walstrom, M. (2004). Ethics and Engagement in Communication Scholarship: Analyzing Public, Online Support Groups as Researcher/Participant-Experiencer. In Buchanan, E. (Ed.). *Readings in Virtual Research Ethics: Issues and Controversies* (pp. 174-202). Hershey: Idea Group.
- Whiteman, N. (2010). Control and Contingency: Maintaining and Ethical Stance in Research. *International Journal of Internet Research Ethics* 3 (1), 6-22.

Discussions of copyright, fair use, and intellectual property as these relate to ethical Internet research practice:

- Botterbusch, H. & Talab R. (2009). Copyright and You: Ethical Issues in Second Life. *TechTrends* 53(1), 9-12.
- Jacobson, D. (1999). Doing Research in Cyberspace. *Field Methods* 11(2), 127-145.
- Lipinski, T. (2008). Emerging Legal Issues in the Collection and Dissemination of Internet-Sourced Research Data: Part I, Basic Tort Law Issues and Negligence. *International Journal of Internet Research Ethics* 1(1).
- Lawson, D. (2003). Blurring the Boundaries: Ethical Considerations for Online Research Using Synchronous CMC Forums. In Buchanan, E. (Ed.) *Readings in Virtual Research Ethics: Issues and Controversies* (pp. 80-99). Hershey: Idea Group.
- Roberts, L., Smith Curtin, L., Pollock, C. (2003). Conducting Ethical Research Online: Respect for Individuals, Identities and the Ownership of Words. In Buchanan, E. (Ed.) *Readings in Virtual Research Ethics: Issues and Controversies* (pp. 156-173). Hershey: Idea Group.

Research on blogging and related ethical issues:

- Kuhn, M. (2007). Interactivity and Prioritizing the Human: A Code of Blogging Ethics. *Journal of Mass Media*, 22, (1), 18-36.
- Hookway, N. (2008). 'Entering the blogosphere': Some Strategies for Using Blogs in Social Research. *Qualitative Research* 8 (1), 91-113.
- Lomborg, S. (2011). First Monday (ADD FULL CITE)
- Moretensen, T. and Walker, J. (2002). Blogging Thoughts: Personal Publication as an Online Research Tool. In Morrison, A. (Ed.), *Researching ICTs in Context*. Oslo: InterMedia Report. Available: <http://www.intermedia.uio.no/konferanser/skilt-02/docs/Researching%20ICTs%20in%20context-Ch11-Mortensen-Walker.pdf>

Research addressing ethical issues or dilemmas related to gaming contexts, such as MMORG, social games, and so forth:

- Grimes, J., Fleischman, K., Grimes, P. (2009). Virtual Guinea Pigs: Ethical implications of Human Subjects Research in Virtual Worlds. *International Journal of Internet Research Ethics* 2(1).
- Gunkel, D. & Hetzel Gunkel, A. (2009). Terra Nova 2.0—The New World of MMORPGs. *Critical Studies in Media Communication* 26(2), 104-127.
- McKee, H. and Porter, J. (2009). Playing a Good Game: Ethical Issues in Researching MMOGs and Virtual Worlds. *International Journal of Internet Research Ethics* 2(1), 5-37.
- Powers, T. (2003). Real wrongs in virtual communities. *Ethics and Information Technology* 5, 191-198.
- Rosenberg, A. (2010). Virtual World Research Ethics and the Private/Public Distinction. *International Journal of Internet Research Ethics* 3(1), 23-37.
- Steinkuehler, Constance. (2004, October 15). What constitutes "ethical participant observation" in MMOG ethnography? *Terra Nova*. Retrieved June 25, 2008, from http://terranova.blogs.com/terra_nova/2004/10/what_constitute.html
- Wood, R., Griffiths, M., Eatough, V. (2004). Online Data Collection from Video Game Players: Methodological Issues. *CyberPsychology & Behavior* 7(5), 511-518.

Research addressing ethical issues or dilemmas related to anonymization/pseudonymization:

- Barchard, K. & Williams, J. (2008). Practical advice for conducting ethical online experiments and questionnaires for United States psychologists. *Behavior Research Methods* 40(4), 1111-1128.
- Barry, D. (2001). Assessing Culture via the Internet: Methods and Techniques for Psychological Research. *CyberPsychology & Behavior* 4(1), 17-21.
- Carusi, A. (2008). Data as Representation: Beyond Anonymity In E-Research Ethics. *International Journal of Internet Research Ethics* 1(1), 37-65.

- Flicker, S., Haans, D., Skinner, H. (2004). Ethical Dilemmas in Research on Internet Communities. *Qualitative Health Research* 14(1), 124-134.
- Ikonomidis Svedmark, E. (2010). Med nätet som fält: urvalstankar, känsllostormar och etikproblem. *Kulturella Perspektiv* 2, 11-21.
- James, N. & Busher, H. (2007). Ethical issues in online educational research: protecting privacy, establishing authenticity in email interviewing. *International Journal of Research & Method in Education* 30(1), 101-113.
- Kitchin, H. (2003). The Tri-Council Policy Statement and Research in Cyberspace: Research Ethics , the Internet, and Revising a 'Living Document.' *Journal of Academic Ethics* 1, 397-418.
- Lenert, L. & Skoczen, S. (2002). The Internet as a Research Tool: Worth the Price of Admission? *The Society of Behavior Medicine* 24(4), 251-256.
- Muhlenfeld, H. (2005). Differences between 'talking about' and 'admitting' sensitive behaviour in anonymous and non-anonymous web-based interviews. *Computers in Human Behavior* 21, 993-1003.
- Pittenger, D. (2003). Internet Research: An Opportunity to Revisit Classic Ethical Problems in Behavioral Research. *Ethics & Behavior* 13(1), 45-60.
- Porr, B. (2004). Organizational Research Over the Internet: Ethical Challenges and Opportunities. In Buchanan, E. (Ed.) *Readings in Virtual Research Ethics: Issues and Controversies* (pp. 130-154). Hershey: Idea Group.
- Rhodes, S., Bowie, D., Hergenrather, K. (2003). Collecting behavioural data using the world wide web: considerations for researchers. *Journal of Epidemiology and Community Health* 57, 68-73.
- Sassenberg, K. & Kreutz, S. (2002). Online Research and Anonymity. *Online Social Sciences*, 214-224.
- Tilly, L. and Woodthorpe, K. (2011). Is It the End of Anonymity as We Know It? A Critical Examination of the Ethical Principle of Anonymity in the Context of the 21st Century Demands on the Qualitative Researcher. *Qualitative Research* 11 (2), 197-212.
- Waern, Y. (2001). Ethics in Global Internet Research. Report from the Department of Communication Studies, Linkoping University.
- Zimmer, M. (2010). "But the data is already public": On the ethics of research in Facebook. *Ethics & Information Technology*, 12(4), 313-325.

Research addressing ethical issues or dilemmas related to recruitment

- Clark, D. (2004). What if You Meet Face to Face? A Case Study in Virtual/Material Research Ethics (pp. 246-261). In Buchanan, E. (Ed.). *Readings in Virtual Research Ethics: Issues and Controversies*. Hershey: Idea Group.
- Hudson, James M., & Bruckman, Amy. (2004). "Go away": Participant objections to being studied and the ethics of chatroom research. *The Information Society*, 20, 127–139. doi: 10.1080/01972240490423030
- Hudson, James M., & Bruckman, Amy. (2005). Using empirical data to reason about Internet research ethics. Proceedings of the European Conference on

- Computer-Supported Cooperative Work (ECSCW) (pp. 287–306). Retrieved April 24, 2009, from <http://www.cc.gatech.edu/~asb/papers/hudson-bruckman-ecscw05.pdf>
- King, S. (1996). Researching Internet communities: Proposed ethical guidelines for the reporting of results. *The Information Society*, 12 (2), 119–128.
 - Waskul, Dennis, & Douglass, Mark. (1996). Considering the electronic participant: Some polemical observations on the ethics of on-line research. *The Information Society*, 12(2), 129–140.

Research addressing issues with studying minors or vulnerable populations

- Barrett, M. and Lenton, S. (2010). Beyond recruitment? Participatory online research with people who use drugs. *International Journal of Internet Research Ethics* 3 (1), 69-86.
- Bober, M. (2004). Virtual Youth Research: An Exploration of Methodologies and Ethical Dilemmas from a British Perspective (pp.288-316). In Buchanan, E. (Ed.). *Readings in Virtual Research Ethics: Issues and Controversies*. Hershey: Idea Group.
- Ikonomidis Svedmark, E. & Nyberg, A. (2009). Om det privata i publika och digitala rum. In *Se mig: Unga om sex och internet* (pp. 354-383). Stockholm: Davidsons Tryckeri AB.
- Rier, D.A. (2007). Internet social support groups as moral agents: the ethical dynamics of HIV+ status disclosure. *Sociology of Health & Illness*, 29(7), 1043-1058.
- Rier, D. (2007). The impact of moral suasion on Internet HIV/AIDS support groups: Evidence from a discussion of seropositivity disclosure ethics. *Health Sociology Review*, 16(3/4).
- Stern, S. (2004). Studying Adolescents Online: A Consideration of Ethical Issues (pp. 274-287). In Buchanan, E. (Ed.). *Readings in Virtual Research Ethics: Issues and Controversies*. Hershey: Idea Group.
- Stern, S. R. (2003). Encountering distressing information in online research: A consideration of legal and ethical responsibilities. *New Media & Society*, 5(2), 249- 266. QA76.9.c66 n49
- Svenningsson Elm, M. (2007). Young people's presentations of relationships in a Swedish Internet community. *Young*, 15(2), 145-167.
- Williams, S. & Reid, M. (2007). A grounded theory approach to the phenomenon of pro-anorexia. *Addiction Research & Theory*, 15(2), 141-152.

Research related to ethics of data archiving and data sharing

- Buchanan, E. & Hvizdak, E. (2009). Online Survey Tools: Ethical And Methodological Concerns Of Human Research Ethics Committees. *Journal of Empirical Research on Human Research Ethics*, 37-48.
- Joye, D. (2005). Qualitative or quantitative? Data archiving in documentation, research and teaching. *Forum Qualitative Sozialforschung / Forum: Qualitative Social Research*, 6 (1). Retrieved July 16, 2009

from <http://www.qualitative-research.net/index.php/fqs/article/view/462/989>.

- Nosek, B., Banaji, M, Greenwald, A. (2002). E-Research: Ethics, Security, Design, and Control in Psychological Research on the Internet. *Journal of Social Issues* 58 (1), 161-176.

Research regulations documents:

- American Association for the Advancement of Science (1999). *Ethical and Legal Aspects of Human Subjects Research in Cyberspace*. Available at: <http://www.aaas.org/spp/sfrl/projects/intres/main.htm>
- Canadian Institutes of Health Research (CIHR), Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada, Social Sciences and Humanities Research Council of Canada. (1998). *Tri-Council Policy Statement: Ethical Conduct for Research Involving Humans*. Retrieved from <http://pre.ethics.gc.ca/eng/archives/tcps-eptc/Default>
- Canadian Institutes of Health Research (CIHR), Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada, and Social Sciences and Humanities Research Council of Canada. (2010). *Tri-Council Policy Statement: Ethical Conduct for Research Involving Humans*. Retrieved from <http://pre.ethics.gc.ca/eng/policy-politique/initiatives/tcps2-eptc2/Default/>
- Code of Federal Regulations. (2005). Title 45 (Public Welfare), Part 46 - Protection of Human Subjects. Retrieved 16 February 2007 from <http://www.hhs.gov/ohrp/humansubjects/guidance/45cfr46.htm>
- Directive 95/46/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 24 October 1995 on the protection of individuals with regard to the processing of personal data and on the free movement of such data. Retrieved from http://ec.europa.eu/justice_home/fsj/privacy/law/index_en.htm
- Directive 2006/24/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 15 March 2006 on the retention of data generated or processed in connection with the provision of publicly available electronic communications services or of public communications networks and amending Directive 2002/58/EC. Retrieved from http://ec.europa.eu/justice_home/fsj/privacy/law/index_en.htm
- Melville, R. (2005). Human research ethics committees and ethical review: The changing research culture for social workers. *Australian Social Work*, 58(4), 370-383.
- National Committee for Research Ethics in the Sciences and the Humanities (NESH), Norway (2003). Research ethics guidelines for Internet research. Retrieved from http://www.etikkom.no/English/Publications/internet02/view_publikasjon
- National Committee for Research Ethics in the Social Sciences and the Humanities (NESH), Norway. (2006). Guidelines for research ethics in the social sciences, law and the humanities. Retrieved from <http://www.etikkom.no/English/NESH/guidelines/>

- National Health and Medical Research Council (NHMRC), Australian Government. (2007). *NHMRC statement on human experimentation and supplementary notes 1992*. Retrieved
http://www.nhmrc.gov.au/health_ethics/ahec/history/fetal/supp5.htm
- The National Commission for the Protection of Human Subjects of Biomedical and Behavioral Research. (1979). The Belmont Report. Available
<http://ohsr.od.nih.gov/guidelines/belmont.html>
- Privireal: Privacy in Research Ethics and Law.
<http://privireal.group.shef.ac.uk/index.phphttp://ohsr.od.nih.gov/guidelines/belmont.html>